

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,566	SEWELL, MARC T. BURTON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle K. Lay	2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michelle K. Lay. (3)_____.

(2) Marc Sewell. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called Applicant in regards to the status of application because application date was reaching 6 months from the date of the final office action filed 05/31/2005. Examiner explained Applicant can either let the case go abandoned, go to appeals, or file an RCE. Applicant decided to file a RCE which was received on 11/30/2005. Communication was also made via email. Please see attached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,566	SEWELL, MARC T. BURTON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle K. Lay	2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michelle K. Lay. (3) Michael Razavi.
 (2) Marc Sewell. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant initiated conversation via email stating he has not received a response to the RCE filed on 11/30/2006. Examiner replied stating that a response to the RCE was sent and filed on 01/12/2006. Applicant requested that a supervisor contact him. Michael Razavi contacted Applicant and notified him that the action filed 01/12/2006 was sent to an incorrect address and a new action will be sent to the address noted on the change of address form, where Applicant will be granted the 3 months from the filing date of the new action to respond. Please see attached email conversation.